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#### Abstract

The molecular structure of melampodinin-A (3) was determined by single crystal x-ray diffraction. Previous tentative assignments related to the attachment of the 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-acetoxybutyrate to C8 as well as the chiralities of the two asymmetric centers of the above ester group, $\mathrm{C} 18(\mathrm{R})$ and $\mathrm{C} 19(\mathrm{~S})$, were confirmed by the crystallographic study.


Melampodinin-A (3) is a common constituent of several Melampodium species $(1,2)$ and exhibits considerable in rito inhibitory activity against lymphocytic leukemia ( $\mathrm{P}-388$ ) (2). The structure of melampodinin-A had been previously assigned on the basis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ correlations with melampodin-A acetate (2) (3,4), a compound with known absolute configuration (5) as determined by chemical
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correlation with melampodin-A (1) (4). Previous structural assignments of compound 3 with respect to the sites of attachment of the 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-3acetoxybutyrate and the acetate moieties to C 8 and C9, respectively, were based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ shift comparisons with 2 (4). The chirality of the two asymmetric centers of the 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-acetoxybutyrate had been tentatively assigned on mechanistic arguments (4). Because of the distinct increased biological activity of melampodinin-A in comparison with melampodin-A acetate (2), single crystal $x$-ray data on melampodinin-A were obtained to eliminate structural uncertainties.

The structure of the basic skeletal arrangement of melampodinin-A closely resembles that of melampodin- A ( $\mathbf{1}$ ), as determined by x -ray (5) and neutron diffraction (6). As the absolute configuration of melampodin-A is known (5), our determination establishes the absolute configuration of the two asymmetric centers of the substituent at C8. As seen in figure 1, the configuration at C18



Fig. 1. Stereoscopic representation of the melampodinin-A molecule. Hydrogen atoms of methyl groups have been omitted for clarity.
is R , and that at C 19 is S . The bond distances (table 3) and angles (table 2) exhibit excellent agreement with both the x-ray and neutron diffraction determinations of melampodin-A and will not be discussed further here. It is quite striking that the torsion angles (table 4) of the two molecules also agree excellently. The largest difference in an endocyclic torsion angle found by comparing the present structure and the neutron diffraction study (6) is only $4.4^{\circ}$ (C10-C1-C2-C3), and the mean difference is $1.7^{\circ}$. That the two molecules exhibit quite different packing and yet nearly identical endocyclic torsion angles attests to the conformational rigidity of the melampolide skeleton.

The most notable intermolecular interaction is a hydrogen bond involving the hydroxyl group O9 as donor and the carbonyl oxygen atom O12 of an acetate

Table 1. Coordinates for nonhydrogen atoms.

| Atom | X | Y | Z | Atom | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | $0.3969(2)$ | $0.5862(0)$ | 0.5151 (2) | C7 | 0.3721 (2) | 0.2236 (4) | 0.2556 (2) |
| O 2 | 0.5648 (2) | 0.3070 (3) | 0.2738 (2) | C8 | 0.2279 (2) | 0.2241 (3) | 0.1925 (2) |
| 03 | 0.6545 (2) | 0.1277 (3) | 0.2476 (2) | C9 | 0.1655 (2) | 0.3079 (3) | 0.2548 (2) |
| O 4 | 0.0344 (1) | 0.2616 (2) | 0.1958 (1) | C10 | 0.2200 (2) | 0.2917 (4) | $0.3907(2)$ |
| 05 | 0.2294 (2) | $0.0601(3)$ | 0.3838 (2) | C11 | 0.4369 (2) | $0.1228(4)$ | 0.2149 (2) |
| 06 | 0.1999 (2) | 0.1514 (3) | 0.5309 (2) | C12 | 0.5628 (2) | 0.1789 (4) | 0.2447 (2) |
| 07 | 0.1829 (1) | $0.2793(2)$ | 0.0719 (1) | C13 | 0.3997 (3) | 0.0088 (4) | 0.1628 (3) |
| O8 | 0.0932 (2) | $0.0892(2)$ | -0.0187 (2) | C14 | 0.2173 (3) | 0.1561 (4) | 0.4327 (2) |
| 09 | -0.0182 (2) | 0.2018 (2) | -0.2383(2) | C15 | 0.2759 (3) | 0.6001 (4) | 0.1741 (3) |
| 010 | 0.2500 (2) | 0.2211 (3) | -0.1524(2) | ${ }^{\text {C16 }}$ | 0.1915 (4) | 0.0242 (6) | 0.5761 (3) |
| 011 | 0.4275 (2) | $0.3293(4)$ | -0.0347 (3) | C17 | 0.1129 (2) | 0.2022 (3) | -0.0240(2) |
| 012 | -0.0245(2) | 0.4373 (3) | 0.2656 (2) | C18 | 0.0609 (2) | 0.2820 (3) | -0.1418(2) |
|  |  |  |  | C19 | 0.1722 (2) | 0.3345 (4) | -0.1609 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{1}$ | $0.2643(3)$ | $0.3892(4)$ | 0.4710 (2) | C20 | 0.1323 (3) | 0.3990 (5) | -0.2816 (3) |
| C 2 | 0.2701 (3) | $0.5338(4)$ | 0.4596 (3) | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | -0.0199 (3) | 0.3928 (4) | -0.1337(3) |
| C3 | 0.3196 (3) | 0.6109 (4) | 0.3893 (3) | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 0.3750 (2) | 0.2336 (4) | -0.0916(2) |
| C4 | 0.3540 (3) | 0.5477 (4) | 0.2999 (3) | C23 | 0.4420 (3) | 0.1140 (6) | -0.1020(3) |
| ${ }^{\text {C5 }}$ | 0.4350 (2) | 0.4497 (4) | 0.3319 (2) | C24 | -0.0525(2) | 0.3394 (4) | $0.2052(2)$ |
| C6 | 0.4364 (2) | 0.3504 (3) | 0.2455 (2) | C25 | -0.1829 (3) | 0.2864 (5) | 0.1343 (3) |

Table 2. Selected bond angles of melampodinin-A.

| Atoms | Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Atoms | Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2-O1-C3 | 62.0 (2) | C8-C9-C10 | 115.7 (2) |
| C6-O2-C12 | 109.7 (2) | C1-C10-C9 | 124.8 (3) |
| C2-C1-C10 | 133.7 (3) | C1-C10-C14 | 120.1 (3) |
| O1-C2--C1 | 114.0 (3) | C9-C10-C14 | 115.1(2) |
| O1-C2-C3 | 58.4 (2) | C7-C11-C12 | 105.1 (3) |
| C1-C2-C3 | 129.2 (3) | C7-C11-C13 | 131.9 (3) |
| O1-C3-C2 | 59.6 (2) | C12-C11-C13 | 123.0 (3) |
| O1-C3-C4 | 118.5 (3) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C12-03}$ | $120.7(3)$ |
| C2-C3-C4 | 121.4 (3) | O2-C12-C11 | 109.6 (2) |
| C3-C4-C5 | 120.9 (3) | O3-C12-C11 | 129.7 (3) |
| C3-C4-C15 | 111.5 (3) | O7-C17-08 | $125.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 15$ | 127.2 (3) | O7-C17-C18 | 110.3 (2) |
| C4-C5-C6 | 123.3 (2) | O8-C17-C18 | 124.5 (2) |
| O2-C6-C5 | 112.6 (2) | O9-C18-C17 | 108.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{C6}-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 103.0 (2) | O9-C18-C19 | 111.3 (2) |
| C5-C6-C7 | 110.5 (2) | O9-C18-C21 | $107.2(2)$ |
| C6-C7-C8 | 116.0 (2) | C17-C18-C19 | 109.1 (2) |
| C6-C7-C11 | $101.7(2)$ | C17-C18-C21 | 109.2 (2) |
| C8-C7-C11 | 117.1 (2) | C19-C18-C21 | 111.1 (3) |
| O7-C8-C7 | 109.4 (2) | O10-C19-C18 | $105.7(2)$ |
| O7-C8-C9 | 105.2(2) | O10-C19-C20 | 109.9 (2) |
| C7-C8-C9 | 115.2(2) | C18-C19-C20 | 113.7 (2) |
| O4-C9-C8 | 102.2(2) |  |  |

Table 3. Bond distances in melampodinin-A.

| Atoms | Distance ( $\AA$ ) | Atoms | Distance ( $\AA$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1-C2 | 1.444 (3) | C2-C3 | 1.479 (5) |
| O1-C3 | 1.427 (3) | C3-C4 | 1.490 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 6$ | 1.468 (3) | C4-C5 | 1.320 (4) |
| O2-C12 | 1.357 (4) | C4-C15 | 1.505 (4) |
| O3-C12 | 1.193 (3) | C5-C6 | 1.481 (4) |
| O4-C9 | $1.465(2)$ | C6-C7 | 1.540 (4) |
| O4-C24 | 1.348 (3) | C7-C8 | 1.524 (3) |
| O5-C14 | 1.196 (4) | C7-C11 | 1.504 (4) |
| O6-C14 | 1.323 (3) | C8-C9 | 1.547 (3) |
| $\bigcirc 6-\mathrm{Cl} 16$ | 1.438 (5) | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{Cl10}$ | 1.514 (3) |
| O7-C8 | 1.453 (3) | C10-C14 | 1.486 (4) |
| O7-C17 | 1.352(3) | C11-C12 | 1.483 (4) |
| O8-C17 | 1.187 (3) | C11-C13 | 1.309(4) |
| O9-C18 | 1.402 (3) | C17-C18 | 1.536 (3) |
| O10-C19 | $1.457(3)$ | C18-C19 | 1.539 (3) |
| O10-C22 | 1.331 (3) | C18-C21 | 1.517 (4) |
| O11-C22 | 1.202(4) | C19-C20 | 1.501(4) |
| O12-C24 | 1.203 (3) | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 23^{\text {2 }}$ | 1.496 (5) |
| C1-C2 | 1.491 (5) | C24-C25 | $1.492(4)$ |
| C1-Cl0 | $1.336(4)$ |  |  |

substituent as acceptor. The hydrogen bond links molecules related by a screw axis in the b direction. It is nonlinear, having an $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance of $2.802(3) \AA$, and an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angle of approximately $154^{\circ}$. The epoxy oxygen atom O 1 forms a close contact ( $\mathrm{O} 1 \cdots \mathrm{C} 122.947(3) \AA$ ) with the lactone ring of an adjoining molecule.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Crystals of melampodinin-A were grown from dichloromethane solution. A fragment of dimensions $0.40 \times 0.44 \times 0.72 \mathrm{~mm}$, cut from a large single crystal, was used for data collection. Intensity measurements were made by use of MoKo radiation on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 automatic diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator. Crystal Data: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, $\mathrm{MW}=522.5$, monoclinic space group $\mathrm{P}_{1}, a=11.862(3), \quad b=10.232(3), c=12.382(2) \AA, \beta=$ $116.95(2)^{\circ}, \mathrm{Z}=2, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.295 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \lambda=0.71073 \AA, \mu(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=1.12 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Data were collected

Table 4. Selected torsion angles for melampodinin-A.

| Atom 1 | Atom 2 | Atom 3 | Atom 4 | Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C10 | C1 | C2 | C3 | $-51.5$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 10.2 |
| C2 | C3 | C 4 | C5 | - 54.9 |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 154.8 |
| C 4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -101.2 |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 78.9 |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | $-72.5$ |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | $-45.7$ |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | C1 | 124.7 |
| C9 | C10 | C1 | C2 | 3.6 |
| O2 | C6 | C7 | C11 | $-32.4$ |
| C 6 | C7 | C11 | C 12 | 27.2 |
| C7 | C11 | C12 | O 2 | $-12.1$ |
| C11 | C12 | O 2 | C6 | - 9.7 |
| C12 | 02 | C6 | C7 | 26.9 |

by $\omega-2 \theta$ scans of variable speed designed to yield $\mathrm{I} \simeq 50 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ for all significant reflections. Background measurements were made for each reflection, and intensities were corrected for background. Periodic remeasurement of standard reflections indicated no crystal decay during data collection. Of the 3246 data in one quadrant having $2 \leq 2 \theta \leq 53^{\circ}, 1979$ had $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$, and were used in the refinement.

The structure was solved by direct methods program MULTAN78 (7), completed by Fourier techniques, and refined by least squares methods to $\mathrm{R}=0.035$. Hydrogen atoms were located in difference maps but were not refined. Coordinates for nonhydrogen atoms are listed in table 1; hydrogen atom coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters can be obtained from the authors.
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